This article was downloaded by: [University College London]

On: 31 January 2013, At: 02:42

Publisher: Routledge

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered

office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Museum Management and Curatorship

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/rmmc20

Natural history museums as provocateurs for dialogue and debate

Mark Carnall ^a , Jack Ashby ^a & Claire Ross ^b

^a UCL Museums and Public Engagement, University College London, Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT, UK

^b Centre for Digital Humanities, University College London, Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT, UK

Version of record first published: 30 Jan 2013.

To cite this article: Mark Carnall , Jack Ashby & Claire Ross (2013): Natural history museums as provocateurs for dialogue and debate, Museum Management and Curatorship,

DOI:10.1080/09647775.2012.754630

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09647775.2012.754630

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.


The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae, and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Natural history museums as provocateurs for dialogue and debate


 $M_{\text{\tiny N}} = C_{\text{\tiny N}} + S_{\text{\tiny N}} + S_{\text{\tiny N}} = A_{\text{\tiny N}} + S_{\text{\tiny N}} + C_{\text{\tiny N}} + C_{\tiny N} + C_{\text{\tiny N}} + C_{\text{\tiny N}} + C_{\text{\tiny N}} + C_{\text{\tiny N}} + C_{\text{\tiny$


 $^{\rm a}$ UCL Museums and Public Engagement, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK; $^{\rm b}$ Centre for Digital Humanities, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK


(Received 16 March 2012; final version received 4 September 2012)

























What worked well

Outcome: visitors engaging with issues







Outcome: visitors engaging with the museum and its specimens, off-topic 33, 2 a - 7



5. And the A La A Ca, the a a market of the Ca, the and Ca, the an




Report to the second se






Is it impossible to remove biases? What happens when we disagree?



How do we interpret the data?



The future

References

A , S. 2005. O M M ... Isis 96: 559–71. ::10.1086/498593.

A , J. 2005. Grant Museum of Zoology Interpretation Strategy. La a: U C

La a

B , S., K. G , M. S., ... 2009. Museums as Places for Intercultural Dialogue: Selected Practises from Europe. D :: MAP. A :://